

Utilizing A Research-Based Rubric To Assess Students' Creativity In Proof And Proving

Milos Savic, University of Oklahoma

Gulden Karakok, University of Northern Colorado

Gail Tang, University of La Verne

Molly Stubblefield, University of Oklahoma

Houssein El Turkey, University of New Haven



Background Literature

- ◆ Mann (2005) stated, “in seeking to facilitate the development of talented young mathematicians, neglecting to recognize creativity may drive the creatively talented underground or, worse yet, cause them to give up the study of mathematics altogether” (p. 239)
- ◆ Over 100 definitions of creativity in mathematics (Mann, 2005)
- ◆ Studies of K-12 Creativity (e.g., Silver, 1997; Leikin & Lev, 2013)
- ◆ Sriraman (2005) K-12 Creativity vs. “Mathematics” Creativity
- ◆ Zazkis and Holton (2009) discussed creativity in undergraduate mathematics, posing problems in many different content areas

Motivation

- ◆ Savic (2012) studied nine mathematicians and five graduate students working on proof tasks without time constraint
 - ◆ Many mathematicians utilized an “incubation” (break) period when stuck
- ◆ According to Wallas (1929) (and stated differently by Hadamard (1945), Poincare (1946) and Polya (1957)), incubation is one of the four stages of creativity
 - ◆ Preparation, Incubation, Insight, and Verification
- ◆ Savic and Karakok (in preparation) interviewed six mathematicians and all agreed that creativity is an essential piece of mathematics and mathematical proof

Research Question

- ◆ If mathematical creativity is both enacted and valued by mathematicians, then how might mathematical creativity be enacted and valued by our undergraduate students in proof-based courses?
- ◆ Our group believes that mathematical creativity should be explicitly discussed with our students.
- ◆ Therefore, we have created a rubric to assess and discuss what creativity in proving might be.

Creativity in Proving Rubric

- ◆ Sriraman (2005): “(a) the process that results in unusual (novel) and/or insightful solution(s) to a given problem or analogous problems, and/or (b) the formulation of new questions and/or possibilities that allow an old problem to be regarded from a new angle requiring imagination” (p. 24)
- ◆ The Creativity in Proving Rubric (CPR) was motivated by past research:
 - ◆ AAC&U (Rhodes, 2010) created a creativity rubric on essay-writing for incoming and outgoing undergraduate students
 - ◆ Torrance (1966, 1988) created tests for mathematical creativity
 - ◆ Leikin (2009) created a “creativity in problem solving” rubric, influenced by Silver (1997)

Three Categories of CPR

- ◆ Taking Risks
 - ◆ The ability to approach a proof and demonstrating flexibility in using different approaches
- ◆ Making Connections
 - ◆ The ability to demonstrate links between multiple representations, ideas from the current course that the student is in, and possible prior knowledge from previous courses
- ◆ Posing Questions/Conjectures
 - ◆ The ability to state a mathematical question that is either pertinent to the proof or can be proven itself

~~29: Thm' 31n if and only if $\sum a_i$ is divisible by 3~~

Let $n = a_m 10^m + a_{m-1} 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 10 + a_0 10^0$

Let $S = a_m + a_{m-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0$

$$\begin{aligned} n - S &= a_m 10^m + a_{m-1} 10^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 10 + a_0 \\ &= 3(a_m 3^m + a_{m-1} 3^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 3) + (a_m + a_{m-1} + \dots + a_1 + a_0) \end{aligned}$$

This $3 | n - S$ by definition of S .
Now suppose $3 | n$. Since $3 | n - S$ and
 $3 | S$, $3 | n - S + S$ by Thm 28. So $3 | n$.

30: Every integer $n \geq 1$ has a prime divisor.
Let $n \geq 1$ and suppose n has no prime divisor. Assume that every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 < k < n$ has a prime divisor.

1) If n is prime, then n has a prime divisor n by definition.

2) If n is not prime, let d be a divisor of n such that $1 < d < n$. Then $p | d$ where p is a prime number.
By def., $d = ab$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $b \neq 1$.
So $n = p(ab)$ and thus $p | n$ so n has a prime divisor.

Assume $n \geq 1$ is the smallest \mathbb{Z} w/o a prime divisor.

$$3(3^0 + 3^1) = (3^0)^2 + (3^1)^2$$

Discussion

- ❖ What level did you assign to the proving session under each of the 3 categories? Why?
- ❖ Without mention of creativity, how would you have assessed or graded this student's proof? Is it different than above?

IBL Teaching Strategies with the CPR

- ◆ Setting up the environment in the classroom:
 - ◆ Discuss/demonstrate proving attempts
 - ◆ Emphasize that mistakes can help
 - ◆ Pose theorems that require multiple solutions
 - ◆ Assign conjecturing tasks in homework
- ◆ Use the CPR when students are demonstrating or presenting proofs in the classroom

Conclusion

- ❖ S15: This [course] is definitely more conducive to creativity than a traditional course structure in that I cannot turn my homework in Calc 4 and have the wrong answer written down and get credit for it. I think that's probably pretty telling that I'm talking about credit rather than the learning process. But as far as learning things go, there is not much creativity in the things that I've learned previously.
- ❖ S11: I would say absolutely so. Just – just you know the setting itself lends to creativity cause we're being forced to be creative (chuckles).

References

- ◆ Leikin, R. (2009). Exploring mathematical creativity using multiple solution tasks. In R. Leikin, A. Berman, & B. Koichu (Eds.), *Creativity in mathematics and the education of gifted students* (pp. 129-145). Haifa, Israel: Sense Publishers.
- ◆ Lev-Zamir, H., & Leikin, R. (2011). Creative mathematics teaching in the eye of the beholder: Focusing on teachers' conceptions. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 13 , 17-32.
- ◆ Mann, E. (2005). *Mathematical creativity and school mathematics: Indicators of mathematical creativity in middle school students*. (Doctoral Dissertation). University of Connecticut : Storrs.
- ◆ Rhodes, T. (2010). *Assessing Outcomes and Improving Achievement: Tips and Tools for Using Rubrics*. Washington, DC: Association of American Colleges and Universities.
- ◆ Savic, M. (2012). What do mathematicians do when they reach a proving impasse? In S. Brown, S. Larsen, K. Marrongelle, & M. Oehrtman, *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference on Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (pp. 531-535). Portland, OR: Online at <http://sigmaa.maa.org/rume/Site/Proceedings.html>.
- ◆ Silver, E. (1997). Fostering creativity through instruction rich in mathematical problem solving and posing. *ZDM Mathematical Education*, 3 , 75-80.
- ◆ Sriraman, B. (2005). Are giftedness and creativity synonyms in mathematics? *Pruferk Journal*, 17(1) , 20-36.
- ◆ Torrance, E. P. (1966). *The Torrance tests of creative thinking: Technical-norms manual*. Princeton, NJ: Personnel Press.

Questions?

- ◆ To contact us, please email:
 - ◆ Milos Savic at savic@ou.edu
 - ◆ Gulden Karakok at gulden.karakok@unco.edu
 - ◆ Gail Tang at gtang@laverne.edu
 - ◆ Molly Stubblefield at mjstubblefield13@ou.edu
 - ◆ Houssein El Turkey at houssein.el.turkey@gmail.com
- ◆ Thanks!